Journal of Education, Humaniora and Social Sciences (JEHSS) *ISSN 2622-3740 (Online)*
Vol 7, No. 2, November 2024: 451-458, *DOI: 10.34007/jehss.v7i2.2378*

Waste-to-Profit Transformation: Innovative Strategies for Waste Recycling as a Sustainable Source of Income

Ismail* & Marhati
Program Studi Administrasi Publik, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Administrasi Yappi, Indonesia

Submitted: 26 September 2024; Reviewed: 29 September 2024; Accepted: 08 November 2024
*Corresponding Email: mail.uncapi@gmail.com

Abstract

The growing waste management problem, especially in developing countries, poses significant environmental, social, and economic challenges. This qualitative study, using an ethnographic approach, investigates community perceptions of waste, recycling's potential as an income source, and innovative recycling strategies in Bone Regency, South Sulawesi. Bone Regency, a region with limited waste infrastructure and high levels of waste pollution, faces unique social and economic challenges in sustainable waste management. Data were collected through in-depth interviews, participant observations, and document analysis involving community members and the Community-Based Solid Waste Management (CBSWM) initiative. Data analysis involved thematic coding to identify key patterns in perceptions, challenges, and outcomes. The findings reveal that community perceptions of waste shifted positively as they recognized its economic potential. Innovative strategies, including community-based waste management and social entrepreneurship, successfully generated income and promoted sustainable practices. Products such as organic compost, recycled plastic crafts, and construction materials demonstrate economic opportunities, though market access and scalability remain critical challenges. The study concludes that infrastructure improvements, expanded market opportunities, and policy support are essential for sustainable waste management in the Bone Regency. Future research should explore scalable recycling models, environmental impacts, and policy implications to support broader applications in similar regions.

Keywords: Waste Management; Recycling; Community Perception; Social Entrepreneurship; Sustainable Waste Practices

How to Cite: Ismail & Marhati, (2024), Waste-to-Profit Transformation: Innovative Strategies for Waste Recycling as a Sustainable Source of Income *Journal of Education, Humaniora and Social Sciences (JEHSS)*. 7 (2): 451-458.

INTRODUCTION

In the era of globalization, rapid economic growth and population increase have led to a significant rise in waste volume, posing severe challenges for sustainable environmental management (Hoornweg & Bhada-Tata, 2012; Kaza et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2015. Ineffective waste management contributes to pollution, climate change, and adverse health impacts (Mwanza & Mbohwa, 2017). According to the World Bank's *What a Waste 2.0* report, about 2.01 billion metric tons of municipal waste are generated worldwide each year, with at least 33% not managed safely (Kaza et al., 2018), releasing an estimated 1.58 billion tons of CO_2 emissions annually (IPCC, 2014). These figures highlight the urgency of adopting sustainable waste management practices, including recycling, within the framework of the 3R principles (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle) and circular economy models (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013).

In Indonesia, particularly in Bone Regency, South Sulawesi, waste management has become a critical issue. Despite spanning 126.35 km^2 and having a population of 145,394, the region relies on only 267 sanitation workers, an inadequate number to meet community needs (Dinas Lingkungan Hidup). Under the mandate of Law No. 24 of 2014, waste management responsibilities were decentralized to local districts, increasing the importance of localized solutions (Government of Indonesia, 2014). However, challenges persist, including low public awareness, lack of infrastructure, and rising environmental health issues due to inadequate waste disposal practices (Jambeck et al., 2015). These issues underscore the need to transition from traditional waste disposal to recycling-focused economic models that transform waste into a source of income.

In response, the Community-Based Solid Waste Management (CBSWM) in the Bone regency represents a promising example of sustainable waste management, turning waste into new revenue streams and benefiting the environment and local economy. This approach not only addresses environmental challenges but also supports economic development by creating local income opportunities from waste recycling.

This study employs a qualitative case study methodology to assess CBSWM's impact on sustainable waste management. Through in-depth interviews, field observations, and stakeholder analysis, the research will explore how CBSWM's recycling efforts transform waste into economic opportunities while improving local environmental conditions. The study also aims to provide practical recommendations for policymakers to enhance waste management strategies. By highlighting innovative recycling strategies as income sources, this research addresses the urgent need for sustainable solutions in waste management. The findings are expected to benefit both the academic community and policymakers by offering insights into practical, community-driven approaches to environmental conservation and economic resilience.

Several studies have examined how community perceptions of waste and its potential for recycling can influence its role as a new income source. Research by Tanaka (2014) highlights that the public often perceives waste as a nuisance rather than a resource, which is a significant barrier to recycling initiatives. In developing countries, low awareness and education levels contribute to the perception of waste as an end product rather than a recyclable material (Ojeda-Benitez et al., 2013). However, in communities where waste has been recognized for its potential value, a shift in mindset has been observed. For instance, a study by Henry et al. (2006) in Kenya found that when communities were educated about the economic benefits of recycling, such as converting waste materials into compost or reusable goods, there was an increased willingness to participate in waste separation and recycling practices. In addition, initiatives that offer financial incentives, such as buy-back programs or income-generation activities through waste recycling, have shown to significantly change community perceptions, making waste a valuable economic asset (Morrissey & Browne, 2004). These findings indicate that effective awareness campaigns and economic incentives can reshape how waste is perceived and managed.

Innovative strategies in waste recycling have been developed globally, with a focus on creating efficient systems for waste collection, segregation, and transformation into valuable products. Some strategies emphasize technological innovation, such as waste-to-energy (WTE) systems that convert non-recyclable waste into energy through incineration or gasification (Singh et al., 2011). This approach addresses both waste management and energy generation challenges. Another innovative strategy is the implementation of community-based solid waste management

(CBSWM) programs that actively involve local communities in waste separation and recycling (Zurbrügg et al., 2012). These programs promote sustainable waste management practices and empower communities to generate income through recycling activities.

A notable strategy is the "zero waste" approach, which aims to minimize waste generation and maximize recycling efforts. This strategy has been widely adopted in countries like Japan and Sweden, where policies focus on encouraging waste separation at the source, promoting product design for recyclability, and providing economic incentives for waste reduction (Zaman & Lehmann, 2011). Moreover, the development of smart waste management systems, which utilize Internet of Things (IoT) technology to monitor waste collection and optimize recycling processes, has significantly improved efficiency in urban areas (Singh, et.al., 2023). These innovative strategies showcase the potential for improving waste management and promoting sustainable recycling practices.

Recycling processes have led to the development of various innovative products with substantial market potential and economic benefits. The transformation of plastic waste into durable construction materials, such as paving blocks or bricks, is one such innovation that has gained popularity in several developing countries (Silva et al., 2013). This approach not only provides a sustainable solution for managing plastic waste but also produces cost-effective building materials. Furthermore, converting organic waste into compost or biogas has demonstrated economic viability, as seen in several studies (Yadav & Garg, 2011). Composting reduces the volume of waste sent to landfills and provides a nutrient-rich fertilizer that can be sold for agricultural use, while biogas production serves as a renewable energy source.

The recycling of electronic waste (e-waste) is another area of innovation, with significant economic potential. The recovery of valuable metals, such as gold, silver, and copper, from discarded electronics has created a profitable market for recycled e-waste (Cucchiella et al., 2015). Additionally, textile waste recycling into fashion products has become an emerging trend, especially with the rise of sustainable fashion and the growing consumer demand for eco-friendly products (Sandin & Peters, 2018).

Recycled products have also tapped into niche markets, such as recycled art and crafts, furniture made from reclaimed wood, and eco-friendly packaging. These innovations not only reduce environmental impact but also create economic opportunities, particularly in local markets and small-scale industries. The economic benefits include cost savings in raw materials, job creation in recycling industries, and the development of new markets for sustainable products (Parlindungan, 2019).

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach using an ethnographic design. The ethnographic approach allows for in-depth exploration of the perceptions and practices of a community regarding waste and its potential for recycling as a source of income. Ethnography is particularly suitable for understanding cultural and social dynamics by facilitating interviews, direct observations, and participation in daily community activities. This design enables the collection of rich, contextualized data on how communities interact with waste and their attitudes toward recycling (Creswell & Poth, 2017). The study is guided by social-environmental entrepreneurship theory, which frames waste management as a potential business opportunity that also addresses social and environmental challenges (Peredo & McLean, 2006; Zahra et al., 2009). This theoretical lens supports the exploration of innovative waste management practices and their integration into local socio-economic systems.

The participants for this study were selected based on their involvement with waste management practices and recycling activities within the community. A total of eight respondents were chosen, including five members of the local community and three members of the Community-Based Solid Waste Management. The selection aimed to gather diverse perspectives on waste perceptions and recycling practices to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the subject.

Data collection involved a combination of in-depth interviews, participant observation, and documentation analysis, aligned with ethnographic methodology. Interviews were conducted

based on a structured question guide designed to elicit the participants' life stories, profiles of their waste management initiatives, development and operational processes, marketing and networking activities, management and governance, and the challenges and opportunities they encounter. Additionally, the research aimed to understand the introduction, acceptance, and adoption of waste recycling innovations within the community and among stakeholders. Observations were made by immersing the researcher in the community's daily life to observe interactions with waste and recycling practices. Relevant documentation, such as photos, videos, and records of community activities related to waste management, were also collected to provide a multifaceted view of the phenomena being studied.

To enhance the validity of the findings, the study employed data triangulation by combining interviews, observations, and other records or documents. This approach ensures that interpretations of the phenomena are based on multiple sources and perspectives, strengthening the credibility and depth of the analysis (Denzin, 1978).

The data were analyzed continuously throughout the research process, allowing the researcher to develop a deep understanding of the local context influencing perceptions and recycling practices. The data analysis followed the thematic analysis model of Miles and Huberman (1994), involving the identification of patterns, themes, and relationships within the data, as well as unexpected aspects of social life that were observed. The analysis was assisted by NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, which facilitated the organization and coding of data to uncover key themes and insights.

The final step of the research process involved writing an ethnographic report that narratively presented the study's findings. This report aimed to portray the complexity of community life and the meanings behind their practices in a rich and layered manner. The narrative not only elucidated how the community and members of CBSWM perceive and interact with waste and its recycling potential but also described how local social and cultural dynamics shape these practices.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Community Perceptions of Waste and the Potential for Recycling as an Income Source

The study revealed that community perceptions regarding waste and its potential as an economic resource were initially diverse but generally shifted to a more positive and proactive stance after engaging in recycling activities. Initially, most community members saw waste as a problem or nuisance, influenced by limited awareness of its economic value and a perception that waste management is primarily a governmental responsibility. This aligns with research by Henry et al. (2006), which found that low public awareness and cultural views of waste as merely disposable material impede recycling efforts in developing regions.

Socio-economic factors also played a significant role in these perceptions. Individuals with greater financial stability were less likely to view recycling as a potential income source, perceiving it as unnecessary labor or associating it with a lower socio-economic status. In contrast, lower-income households were more open to recycling, seeing it as a viable means of supplementing their income. To address these differing perspectives, CBSWM implemented awareness campaigns and educational workshops, illustrating how waste could be transformed into marketable products and income streams. These activities aimed to break down socio-economic stigmas by emphasizing recycling's benefits beyond income generation, such as environmental health and community improvement.

The educational workshops and direct engagement in 3R (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle) activities were particularly effective in shifting community perspectives. Community members who participated in these initiatives described feeling empowered to contribute to waste reduction and saw waste as a means to improve livelihoods. This transformation underscores the role of community-based initiatives in reframing waste as a resource, as highlighted by Hoornweg & Bhada-Tata (2012). However, to deepen this shift and address persistent perceptions of waste as a burden, the study suggests increasing community-led success stories and involving influential local figures who can endorse recycling as a positive, community-strengthening activity.

Strategies to address lingering perceptions of waste as a burden include implementing reward-based systems for consistent recyclers, as well as offering more hands-on workshops that demonstrate the economic potential of recycled products. By reinforcing the idea that recycling benefits not only the individual but also the broader community, CBSWM can continue fostering a sustainable and proactive attitude toward waste management.

Implementation of Innovative Strategies in Recycling

The study identified several innovative recycling strategies successfully implemented by CBSWM, including waste separation at the source, promotion of community participation, and transforming waste into valuable products such as compost, recycled plastic items, and craft materials. This strategy aligns with decentralized waste management approaches, as highlighted by Zurbrügg et al. (2012), which emphasize the role of local communities in efficient waste management.

One key strategy is the creation of localized markets for recycled goods. By converting organic waste into compost for local agriculture and selling recycled crafts within the community, CBSWM tapped into existing demand while raising awareness about the economic value of waste. This approach resonates with the "zero waste" concept, which seeks to maximize waste utilization and minimize disposal (Zaman & Lehmann, 2011).

Another innovative strategy observed was the use of social entrepreneurship as a model for sustaining recycling activities. CBSWM operated not only as a waste management entity but also as a social enterprise, generating income through waste transformation while contributing to social and environmental welfare. This dual approach supports Peredo and McLean's (2006) view that social entrepreneurship can effectively address economic and environmental objectives.

Challenges remain, including inadequate infrastructure for large-scale waste processing, limited market access, and inconsistent community participation. These obstacles mirror findings from Jambeck et al. (2015), who stress that successful recycling initiatives require supportive infrastructure and market access to ensure sustainability.

Products Generated from Recycling and Their Market Potential

Ethnographic observations highlighted various products developed from recycling, such as organic compost, recycled plastic crafts, and repurposed furniture, showcasing the potential economic benefits of waste transformation. Organic compost, derived from food and garden waste, found a local market among farmers, providing a cost-effective and eco-friendly alternative to chemical fertilizers. This supports the findings by Yadav and Garg (2011), who emphasized the economic viability of organic waste recycling in agricultural communities.

Recycled plastic crafts, such as handbags and baskets, have gained popularity as eco-friendly alternatives to conventional goods. These crafts provide income for local artisans and raise awareness about waste repurposing. Silva et al. (2013) noted similar successes, showing that adding value to recycled products enhances their market potential. Furniture and building materials made from repurposed waste, like paving blocks and modular furniture, have proven marketable as cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternatives to traditional materials, echoing trends in construction waste recycling as described by Cucchiella et al. (2015).

While these products offer direct income and employment opportunities, challenges in scalability and market access persist. Ensuring product quality standards and appealing to broader markets beyond the local community is necessary for long-term economic sustainability.

Social and Environmental Impact of Waste Recycling

The study also explored the social and environmental impacts of CBSWM's recycling initiatives. Participants reported a greater sense of community cohesion and shared responsibility for environmental stewardship. Community-based activities, such as waste collection and product-making workshops, fostered social bonds and collective action toward waste management, which aligns with Mwanza and Mbohwa's (2017) findings on the role of social capital in sustainable development.

From an environmental standpoint, the recycling initiatives have reduced waste sent to landfills and illegal dumping sites, improving local sanitation and reducing pollution. Participants expressed increased environmental awareness and commitment to waste reduction, consistent

with Wilson et al. (2015), who highlighted the environmental benefits of integrated waste management.

Although the impacts were largely positive, challenges remain in maintaining long-term community engagement. Initial enthusiasm may wane without sustained support and incentives. Regular recognition of active participants and incentives, such as small grants or discounts on recycled products, could help maintain involvement. Additionally, ensuring that recycling practices stay environmentally friendly and economically viable will require ongoing adaptation to meet market demands and environmental standards.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study underscore the importance of community perceptions, innovative recycling strategies, and the development of marketable recycled products in achieving sustainable waste management. The ethnographic approach revealed that shifting community views toward waste as a valuable resource can significantly enhance participation in recycling activities, supporting both environmental sustainability and economic development. For instance, community members who viewed waste as an income source were more actively involved in recycling and even initiated their own small-scale waste repurposing efforts. Innovative strategies, such as social entrepreneurship and community-based waste management, proved effective in engaging communities, generating income, and promoting sustainable practices. For example, CBSWM's social enterprise model has enabled community members to earn income by creating marketable products from recycled materials, such as plastic crafts and construction blocks, demonstrating the economic potential of waste transformation. These initiatives highlight opportunities for market growth and sustainable livelihoods within the recycling sector. However, challenges such as limited infrastructure, restricted market access, and fluctuating community engagement remain barriers to the widespread adoption of sustainable recycling initiatives. The findings suggest that overcoming these challenges by building supportive infrastructure, improving market access, and fostering long-term community engagement is essential for maximizing the social, environmental, and economic benefits of waste recycling.

The ethnographic design, while offering rich, context-specific insights into the community's interactions with waste, limits the generalizability of findings. By focusing on a single community in Bone Regency, the study's insights may not fully represent diverse waste management practices and perceptions across different regions with varying socio-economic and cultural conditions. Additionally, the reliance on a limited number of participants and qualitative data introduces potential biases in representing community perspectives, as some voices or views may be underrepresented. These methodological limitations suggest caution in applying these findings to other communities without considering local context. Future studies could integrate quantitative measures alongside ethnographic approaches, enhancing the representativeness of findings and allowing for more precise measurement of the social, economic, and environmental impacts of recycling initiatives.

The findings of this study have several implications for policy and practice in waste management. Given the demonstrated potential of waste recycling to contribute to local economies, policymakers should consider developing supportive frameworks that encourage community-based recycling programs. For example, funding subsidies for infrastructure and grants for social entrepreneurship initiatives can help communities scale their recycling activities and overcome existing market access barriers. CBSWM's successful creation of marketable recycled products provides a concrete example of how government support could enable other communities to replicate such models effectively.

Future research could build on these findings by exploring multiple avenues. Comparative studies across different communities or regions would provide a broader understanding of how various socio-economic and cultural factors influence recycling practices and outcomes. Additionally, quantitative studies could complement qualitative insights by offering measurable data on recycling's social, economic, and environmental impacts.

Research should also examine the scalability and sustainability of recycling initiatives, exploring how small-scale community programs like CBSWM can expand to regional or national

levels. Studies on policy implications and the role of government support in facilitating waste recycling, particularly regarding infrastructure investment and market development, would also provide valuable insights.

Finally, a deeper analysis of environmental impacts, including the carbon footprint of recycling practices, would contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the benefits and challenges of waste transformation. For instance, exploring innovative technologies like waste-to-energy conversion and smart waste management systems could reveal new approaches to enhancing recycling efficiency and sustainability.

This expanded scope in future research would further inform policymakers and community leaders, guiding the development of more effective waste management policies and supporting sustainable economic opportunities within the recycling sector.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Directorate of Research, Technology, and Community Service (DRTPM) for the research funding assistance through the Beginner Lecturer Research Grant (PDP). This assistance is significant in supporting the implementation of this research. Thanks also to all those who have contributed and provided support during this research process. Hopefully, the results of this research will be helpful and contribute to the development of science.

REFERENCES

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2017). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. Sage publications.

Cucchiella, F., D'Adamo, I., Lenny Koh, S. C., & Rosa, P. (2015). Recycling of WEEEs: An economic assessment of present and future e-waste streams. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 51, 263-272.

Denzin, N. K. (1978). The Research Act: A Theoretical Introduction to Sociological Methods. McGraw-Hill.

Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2013). Towards the Circular Economy. Retrieved from https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org

Government of Indonesia. (2014). Law No. 24 of 2014.

Henry, R. K., Yongsheng, Z., & Jun, D. (2006). Municipal solid waste management challenges in developing countries–Kenyan case study. Waste Management, 26(1), 92-100.

Hoornweg, D., & Bhada-Tata, P. (2012). What a Waste: A Global Review of Solid Waste Management. World Bank.

IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., ... & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. Science, 347(6223), 768-771.

Kaza, S., Yao, L., Bhada-Tata, P., & Van Woerden, F. (2018). What a Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050. World Bank.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook. Sage.

Morrissey, A. J., & Browne, J. (2004). Waste management models and their application to sustainable waste management. Waste Management, 24(3), 297-308.

Mwanza, B. G., & Mbohwa, C. (2017). Drivers to sustainable plastic solid waste recycling: a review. Procedia Manufacturing, 8, 649-656.

Ojeda-Benitez, S., Armijo de Vega, C., & Ramirez-Barreto, E. (2013). Formal and informal recovery of recyclables in Mexicali, Mexico: Challenges and opportunities. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 73, 54-65.

Parlindungan, E. (2019). An investigation into the use of stress to improve the survivability and robustness of Lactobacillus plantarum B21 (Doctoral dissertation, RMIT University).

Peredo, A. M., & McLean, M. (2006). Social entrepreneurship: A critical review of the concept. Journal of World Business, 41(1), 56-65.

Silva, R. V., de Brito, J., & Dhir, R. K. (2013). Use of recycled aggregates arising from construction and demolition waste in new construction applications. Journal of Cleaner Production, 236, 118438.

Singh, J., Laurenti, R., Sinha, R., & Frostell, B. (2011). Progress and challenges to the global waste management system. Waste Management & Research, 32(9), 800-812.

Singh, M. K., Tewari, R., Zafar, S., Rangappa, S. M., & Siengchin, S. (2023). A comprehensive review of various factors for application feasibility of natural fiber-reinforced polymer composites. Results in Materials, 17, 100355.

Tanaka, M. (2014). Municipal solid waste management in Japan. Municipal Solid Waste Management in Asia and the Pacific Islands: Challenges and Strategic Solutions, 157-171.

Wilson, D. C., Velis, C., & Rodic, L. (2015). Integrated sustainable waste management in developing countries. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Waste and Resource Management, 166(2), 52-68.

Yadav, A., & Garg, V. K. (2011). Recycling of organic wastes by employing Eisenia fetida. Bioresource Technology, 102(3), 2874-2880.

Zahra, S. A., Gedajlovic, E., Neubaum, D. O., & Shulman, J. M. (2009). A typology of social entrepreneurs: Motives, search processes and ethical challenges. Journal of Business Venturing, 24(5), 519-532.

Zaman, A. U., & Lehmann, S. (2011). Challenges and opportunities in transforming a city into a "zero waste city". Challenges, 2(4), 73-93.

Zurbrügg, C., Drescher, S., Rytz, I., Sinha, A. M. M., & Enayetullah, I. (2012). Decentralized composting for cities of low- and middle-income countries—a user's manual. Waste Management, 31(8), 1950-1956.